UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/727,248	11/29/2000	Christopher A. Lee	ODS-20	4353
¹⁴⁷³ FISH & NEAV	7590 03/02/2007 E IP GROUP	EXAMINER		
ROPES & GRA	AY LLP E OF THE AMERICAS		HARPER, TRAMAR YONG	
NEW YORK, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\overline{}$		
		(B		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,		
	09/727,248	LEE, CHRISTOPHE	R A.		
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tramar Harper	3714			
pe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO				
llo\ No	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
late	e of the final rejection.				
	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing				
or	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).				
ate ex he	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
impliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since led within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
СО	but prior to the date of filing a brief insideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
a)). 1.1 ı(s)	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
a	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida				

Advisory Action

Advisory Action	09/727,248	LEE, CHRISTOPHE	R A.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tramar Harper	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
	HE REPLY FILED 13 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
			ndonment of			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
NOTICE OF APPEAL	•					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	•		ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •		Ales territoria			
appeal; and/or	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):·	·				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) anowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-46</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	red.			
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:	,		1			

ROBERT E. PEZZUTO SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 2/13/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Stronach discloses that upon actuation of the quick pick button the wagering terminal automatically selects racing candidates based on various algorithms. Each time the quick pick button is actuated a different set of candidates is determined until the desired combination is formed. The is interpreted, although not using a random number generator, a random means for automatically selecting candidates. It is well known in the art that random number generators are a means for automatically selecting a random outcome or event. Such generators randomly select numbers based on some type of algorithm. Tulley discloses a lottery system that comprises of a controller that offers a "quick pick" function. The player can press the "guick pick" button to randomly select/generate lottery numbers to the player and if the generated set is not deemed satisfactory a new set can be generated. Tulley discloses that the system can be used for pari-mutuel horse racetrack betting where the player has to select in order the top three hourse that wil win. Tulley discloses that additionally the player can request that his/her selections be associated with a limited number of occurrences. When refering to that aspect of said invention, Tulley discloses that the limited number of occurrences feature involves the controller randomly generated a set of number or candidates (Col. 5:40-46). Therefore, the "quick pick" random process is implement in the horse wagering system. In regards to the motivation to combine, Examiner contends that both references attempt to provide a means for automatically generating a combination of candidates or indicia e.g. have the same stated problem. Stronach is silent to explicitly using a random number generator for randamly generating candiates (quick pick). Tulley teaches that random number generator can be used as a means to randomly generate quick picks in regards to horse racing. One skilled in the art would know that a "quick pick" are well known to be associated with random number generators. Therefore one would be motivated to modify Stronach with the random number generator, which is well known in the art, as a alternative means of providing a quick pick for indecisive players.